GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWING A CORE READING PROGRAM RUBRIC

Scott Foresman Reading Street Common Core Edition Kindergarten

Final Review

Directions for use:

- Thoroughly review the core reading program using the corresponding rubric.
- Only evaluate the individual components that correlate with the grade level to review. (Note the placement of the "x" within the grade level columns.)
- Each indicator must receive a score using the following criteria:
 - 2 Exceeds expectations
 - 1 Meets expectations
 - 0 Does not meet expectations

(For any indicator receiving a 0, comments must be provided justifying the score.)

Record the total score for each area in the final row for the section.



The Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Participant's Guide publication was created for the Center on Instruction by the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University. The Center on Instruction is operated by RMC Research Corporation in partnership with the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University; Instructional Research Group; Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California – Berkeley; Texas Institute for Measurement, Evaluation, and Statistics at the University of Houston; and The Meadows Center for Preventing Educational Risk at the University of Texas at Austin.

Guideline forms excerpted from Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Module, Participant's Guide, 2008, Center on Instruction.

The contents of the module and this document were developed under cooperative agreement S283B050034 with the U.S. Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

IN	STRUCTIONAL DESIGN (ID)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is there empirical research on this program's efficacy?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
2.	Are resources available to help the teacher understand the rationale for the instructional approach and program strategies (e.g., articles, explanations in the teacher manuals, references, and reliable websites)?	х	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
3.	Does the comprehensive program address the five components of reading (phonological/phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
4.	In addition to the five components of reading, are other dimensions of reading such as spelling, writing, oral language, and listening comprehension addressed?	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
5.	Is there a scope and sequence?	Х	X	X	X	X	Χ	X	1	
6.	Are goals and objectives clearly stated?	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	χ	1	
7.	Are student materials aligned with instructional objective of the lesson?	х	Х	х	X	х	х	Х	1	
8.	Do instructional materials increase in difficulty as students' skills strengthen?	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	1	
9.	Are all lessons and activities (e.g., whole group, small group, and centers) reading-related?	х	X	х	X	х	х	X	1	
10.	Is there a clear and logical organization to the lessons in:									
	The order and procedures of each day's lesson?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
	The inclusion of all necessary materials?	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	2	
	The consistency of each day's lesson format?	χ	Х	Χ	X	X	Х	Х	2	
	Addressing the components of reading every day?	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	Х	2	
11.	Is instruction consistently explicit? Is it concise, specific, and related to the objective?	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	1	
12.	Are teacher directives highly details to ensure accurate implementation?	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	1	
13.	Does the lesson format facilitate frequent interactions between teacher and students?	Х	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	2	

					г —		1			
14.	Is instruction consistently systematic? Is there a prescribed order for introducing specific skills within each component of reading?	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
15.	Are there coordinated instructional sequences and instructional routines which include:									
	Modeling?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	It is labeled specifically.
	Guided practice with feedback?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	It is labeled specifically.
	Student practice and application?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	It is labeled specifically.
	Cumulative review?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	It is labeled specifically.
16.	Are there many guided practice opportunities for explicit teaching and teacher-directed feedback (for typically progressing readers and more for struggling readers)?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	2	
17.	Does the program provide clear guidance for the teacher to document student progress and inform instruction?	X	х	X	X	X	х	х	2	
18.	Does instruction make a clear connection among all five components?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
19.	Is scaffolding a prominent part of the lessons?	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	X	χ	2	
20.	Are instructions for scaffolding specific within each lesson?	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	2	
21.	Are teachers encouraged to give immediate, specific feedback (corrective or positive)?	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
22.	Is differentiated instruction prominent?	X	х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	2	Leveled readers for: ELL, advanced, strategic intervention and on level.
23.	Is instruction differentiated based on assessment?	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
24.	Are directions for differentiating instruction specific?	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	2	
25.	Is small-group instruction (small teacher-pupil ratio) part of daily instruction?	X	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
26.	Are there guidelines for forming flexible groups based on student progress?	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	1	
27.	Are enrichment activities included for advanced students?	X	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	2	
28.	Does the program provide instruction for English Learners?	X	Х	χ	Х	χ	Х	Х	2	
29.	Does the program specify for whom it is appropriate (e.g., students on or above grade level, students slightly behind their peers, students more than one grade level behind their peers)?	X	х	х	x	х	x	х	1	

30.	Does the program specify who should provide instruction for accurate implementation (e.g., special education teacher, general education teacher, paraprofessional, or volunteer)?	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	0	Did not find evidence of who should provide the instruction.
31.	Does the program specify the instructional setting (e.g., general education classroom, computer lab, or resource room)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Publisher's Comment: The First Stop on Reading Street teacher resource includes support and guidance for teachers on how to organize instruction, as well as their classrooms.
	TOTAL								59	

	IONOLOGICAL/ IONEMIC AWARENESS (PA)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is phonological/phonemic awareness instruction explicit ?	X	X						2	Beginning with week 5 there is a lesson every day.
2.	Is phonological/phonemic awareness instruction systematic?	Х	Х						2	
3.	Does phonological/phonemic awareness instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	X	X						1	
4.	Is phonological/phonemic awareness instruction scaffolded ?	X	X						1	
5.	Does phonological/phonemic awareness instruction include cumulative review ?	X	X						1	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in phonological/phonemic awareness?	X	X						1	
7.	Is PA only a small portion of the daily lesson?	X	X						2	
8.	Does each day's lesson focus on only one or two PA skills (as opposed to several)?	Х	Х						2	
9.	Are there instructions for PA activities to alert the teacher to student readiness?	X	X						2	Yes, in daily assessments.
10.	Does the program contain instructional activities that are designed to stimulate the growth of phonemic awareness?	X	X						1	
11.	Does PA start with larger units (words and syllables) and progress to smaller units (phonemes)?	Х	X						1	
12.	Does PA start with rhyming and progress to phoneme isolation, blending, segmenting, and phoneme manipulation?	X	X						1	

13. Do students count the number of words in spoken sentences?	х					1	Publisher's Comment: Reading Street Kindergarten provides thorough phonological awareness lessons that cover all areas that research has proven to be effective in developing children's pre-reading. One example area would be counting the number of spoken words in sentences.
14. Are there rhyming activities (recognition and production)?	х					1	
15. Are there alliteration activities?	Х					1	
Are there activities that involve counting the number of syllables in a word?	X					1	
17. Are there activities that involve blending and segmenting syllables in a word?	Х					1	
18. Are there activities for students to blend onsets and rimes?	Х					1	
PHONEMIC AWARENESS							
19. Do activities follow the continuum of word types (beginning with short words that contain two or three phonemes)?	х	х				1	
20. Does instruction include physical representations (e.g., clapping, Elkonin boxes with markers, counters, tiles, fingers, and auditory cues) to help students make the connection between sounds and print (the alphabetic principle)?	х	х				1	
21. When PA activities are at the phoneme level, do students' activities target the first sound in words and then move to the last sound in words and finally focus on the middle sound in words?	х	х				2	
22. Are there blending activities at the phoneme level?	Х	Х				1	
23. Are there segmenting activities at the phoneme level?	Х	Х				2	
24. Once students demonstrate early phonemic awareness, is PA instruction linked to phonics instruction?	X	х				1	
25. Does the program specify when oral language PA activities should be phased out?	χ	Х				2	Phonemic awareness is found throughout all six units.
26. Are the words used in PA activities found in subsequent word lists and text readings?	X	Х				1	
Does the program include a pronunciation guide for the various features of sound production (e.g., stop sounds and continuous	х	х				1	Also a guide for the EL learners.

sounds)?						
28. Do computer-based programs pronounce sounds distinctly, correctly, and without distortion?	x	x			1	Publisher's Comment: Reading Street Kindergarten offers robust explicit and systematic phonemic awareness instruction. The teacher's editions provide all the support that teachers need to teach and reteach phonemic awareness. As a support activity, Reading Street offers a Modeled Pronunciation CD for use in a listening center or as part of a computer-based program.
TOTAL					36	

Pł	HONICS (P)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is phonics instruction explicit?	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
2.	Is phonics instruction systematic?	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
3.	Does phonics instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	1	
4.	Is phonics instruction scaffolded?	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	1	
5.	Does phonics instruction include cumulative review ?	х	Х	Х	х	X	х	х	2	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in phonics?	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	1	Plenty available, however many teachers may have difficulty deciding how to best use the provided assessments.
7.	Does the program teach both consonants and vowels?	x	x						0	Publisher's Comment: Reading Street Kindergarten introduces all consonants and vowels through explicit systematic instruction with a variety of support pieces. Reading Street ©2011 does not include instruction in long vowels. Reading Street ©2013 Common Core Edition provides explicit instruction in both short and long vowels.
8.	Are short vowels taught before long vowels?	х	Х						1	
9.	Are individual letter-sounds taught first, followed by digraphs, blends, and word families?	х	X	х					1	Only blends and word families.

10. Are high utility letter-sounds (e.g., /a/, /m/, /s/, /t/, /r/ found in short, one syllable CVC or CCVC words) introduced before low utility letter-sounds (e.g., /x/, /y/, /z/)?	x	х						2	
Are letter-sound correspondences taught to mastery and reviewed cumulatively?	х	Х						1	
Are students taught an explicit strategy to decode words by their individual sounds?	х	Х	Х					1	
Do students practice decoding words that contain only those letter-sounds that have been previously taught?	х	X	Х					1	
14. Once students have mastered a few letter- sounds, do they immediately apply them to reading word lists and short decodable texts?	х	X						1	
15. Are symbol to sound (decoding) and sound to symbol (spelling) taught explicitly?	х	X	Х	X	X	X	X	1	
Is spelling taught during word learning so students can understand how sounds map onto print?	х	Х	х	х	х	х	x	1	
17. Does instruction progress from simple to more complex concepts (e.g., CVC words before CCCVCC words and single syllable words before multisyllabic words)?	х	X	х	X	X	X	X	1	
18. Does instruction follow the continuum of word types (beginning with CV and CVC words), incorporating continuous and stop sounds and blends in an appropriate sequence?	х	X						1	
Are reviews of previously taught concepts and words frequent and cumulative?	х	X	Х	X	X	X	X	1	
20. Is emphasis placed on fluency practice for each phonics component (e.g., sound identification, CVC blending, word recognition, multisyllabic words, and text reading)?	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
21. Are there ample decodable texts (familiar and unfamiliar) for students to practice applying their skills with phonic elements?	х	X	х	х				1	
22. Are decodable texts read before trade books (for students to master new skills)?	х	Х	Х	X				1	
23. Does the program clarify that high frequency words can be both regular and irregular?	х	X	X	X				1	
24. Are irregular words that are visually or phonemically confusing (e.g., saw/was, where/were, of/off) separated?	х	X						1	
Does the program include explicit instruction in irregular words and decoding strategies for the decodable parts of words (clarifying that the	х	X	Х	X				1	

	letters represent their most common sounds as well as the irregularities of certain letters)?							
26.	Are the numbers of high frequency, irregular words introduced in one lesson kept to a minimum?	X	х	Х	х		1	
27.	Are irregular words pre-taught before students read connected texts?	Х	Х	X	Х		1	
28.	Are difficult, high frequency words reviewed often and cumulatively?	Х	Х	Х	Х		1	
	TOTAL						29	

FL	UENCY (F)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is fluency instruction explicit?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
2.	Is fluency instruction systematic?	X	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
3.	Does fluency instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	1	
4.	Is fluency instruction scaffolded?	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
5.	Does fluency instruction include cumulative review ?	χ	Х	χ	Х	χ	х	х	2	Review previous skills and prior knowledge throughout.
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in fluency?	X	X	X	X	X	х	Х	1	
7.	Does the program address all dimensions of fluency (speed, accuracy, and prosody)?	Χ	Х	X	Х	Х	х	х	1	
8.	Does the program encourage the teacher to model speed, accuracy, and prosody?	X	X	X	X	X	х	X	1	
9.	Are letter-sounds taught and practiced frequently to promote automaticity?	X	X						1	
10.	Does fluency practice during letter-sound study and text reading involve the teacher's providing feedback to students?	X	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	1	
11.	Is fluency instruction integrated into each day's lesson?	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	1	
12.	Is the decoding strategy taught so that it becomes automatic?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	1	
13.	Are irregular words taught to be recognized automatically?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	1	
14.	Is an explicit strategy taught as students transition from reading words in lists to reading	Х	х						1	

connected text?									
15. After error correction, are students asked to reread the word, word list, or sentence correctly and then to reread it from the beginning?	X	x	x	x	X	x	x	1	Publisher's Comment: Reading Street begins teaching quality error correction strategies at the earliest grades. Strategies such as rereading a word, word list, or sentence correctly are all examples found in the program. The Kindergarten Reading Street Teacher's Edition provides daily explicit instruction through the Reading Decodable Books Routine that is first established in unit 1 week 1 and continues throughout the grade level.
TOTAL								16	

VOCAE	BULARY (V)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1. Is voca	abulary instruction explicit?	Х	X	Χ	X	Х	Х	Х	1	
2. Is voca	abulary instruction systematic?	Х	Χ	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	vocabulary instruction include inated instructional sequences and es?	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	1	
4. Is voca	abulary instruction scaffolded?	Х	Χ	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	ocabulary instruction include lative review?	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	1	
	sessments included to measure and progress in vocabulary ?	х	Х	X	х	Х	Х	х	1	
7. Is emp	hasis placed on listening and speaking ulary?	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	χ	х	1	
8. Is there vocable	e emphasis on reading and writing ulary?	х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	1	
throug	udents exposed to diverse vocabulary h listening to or reading narrative and tory texts?	Х	x	X	х	Х	Х	х	1	
teache	he program include frequent use of er read-alouds using higher level books oplanation and instruction of key ulary?	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	1	
	vocabulary instruction occur before, and after reading?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
	imited number of words selected for , explicit vocabulary instruction?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	

13.	Are important, useful, and difficult words taught?	X	Х	х	Х	Х	X	X	1	
14.	Does the instructional routine for vocabulary include:		<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>					
	Introducing the word?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Presenting a student-friendly explanation?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Clarifying the word with examples?	χ	Х	Х	Х	χ	χ	Х	1	
	Checking students' understanding?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
15.	Are ample opportunities provided to engage in oral vocabulary activities that:									
	Repeat exposure to words in rich and multiple contexts?	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Use everyday language to explain word meanings?	X	х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	1	
	Connect word meanings to prior knowledge?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	1	
16.	Are students given multiple opportunities to use new words in reading sentences, paragraphs, or longer texts?	х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	1	
17.	Is extended instruction provided in multiple contexts to promote word awareness using word banks, vocabulary logs, writing, semantic maps, concept definition mapping, and word classification?	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	1	
18.	Are strategies taught over time to ensure understanding and correct application?	X	х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	1	
19.	Are various aspects of word study included (either under vocabulary or word recognition) such as:									
	Concepts of word meaning?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Multiple meanings?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Synonyms?	χ	Х	Х	Х	χ	χ	Х	1	
	Antonyms?	χ	Х	Х	Х	χ	χ	Х	1	
20.	Is the use of context to gain the meaning of an unfamiliar word kept to a minimum?	X	х	Х	х	Х	X	X	1	
21.	Is computer technology used to help teach vocabulary?	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	0	No information throughout curriculum to find Internet based instruction.
	TOTAL								28	

CO	OMPREHENSION (C)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is comprehension instruction explicit?	X	X	χ	Х	χ	χ	Х	1	
2.	Is comprehension instruction systematic?	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	1	
3.	Does comprehension instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	X	х	X	х	х	х	х	1	
4.	Is comprehension instruction scaffolded?	Х	Х	χ	Х	χ	χ	Х	1	
5.	Does comprehension instruction include cumulative review?	X	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	1	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in comprehension?	X	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	1	
7.	Is learning to determine which strategy to use and why (metacognition) part of instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	Х	х	1	
8.	When a strategy is taught, is it applied frequently so students understand its usefulness?	X	X	X	x	X	х	X	1	
9.	Does program instruction enable students to establish and adjust purposes for reading (e.g., reading to understand, interpret, inform, to enjoy, and to solve problems)?	X	X	X	х	X	Х	X	1	
10.	Does instruction support the use of multiple, coordinated comprehension strategies?	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	1	
11.	Are guided and supported cooperative learning groups suggested as an instructional technique?	X	X	X	х	X	Х	х	1	
12.	Does instruction begin with the use of short passages?	X	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	1	Begins with question of the week and a picture walk.
13.	Does instruction emphasize that students have a conceptual understanding of beginning, middle, and end?	X	X	X	х	X	Х	х	1	
14.	Does the program provide prompts for the teacher to guide the students through texts using think-alouds?	X	x	Х	х	х	х	х	1	
15.	Are models of effective questioning techniques (e.g., Bloom's taxonomy) provided to guide and monitor students' comprehension?	х	X	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	1	
16.	Are there ample opportunities for students to listen to narrative and expository text?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
17.	Is instruction in narrative and expository text structures explicit?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
18.	Do texts contain useful and familiar concepts	X	X	χ	Χ	χ	χ	Х	1	

and	vocabulary?									
com durir	there instructional routines for aprehension strategies for use before, and, and after reading (e.g., prediction, story mmar, summarization, graphic organizers)?	X	X	Х	X	х	Х	X	1	
syst	ne "main idea" strategy taught tematically (e.g., using pictures, then vidual sentences, then paragraphs, etc.)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
char	elements of story grammar (e.g., setting, racters, important events, etc.) taught and d for retelling a story?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
	es instruction focus on discussion story mmar and comparing stories?	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
begi	tory grammar introduced systematically, inning with simple text that gradually omes more complex?	х	Х	х	X	х	х	Х	1	
to illi text	students taught to use graphic organizers lustrate relationships among concepts in (e.g., story maps, Venn diagrams, and nantic maps)?	X	X	Х	x	Х	х	X	1	
infor	explicit strategies for interpreting rmation from charts, graphs, tables, and grams taught?	х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	1	
26. Afte	er instruction, is there systematic review of:									
Liter	ral comprehension?	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
Rete	elling?	X	X	χ	X	Χ	X	X	1	
Mair	n idea?	χ	X	χ	X	Х	Х	X	1	
Sum	nmarization?	X	X	Χ	X	Χ	X	X	1	
	TOTAL								29	

STANDARDS ALIGNMENT	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
Is clear alignment provided to the Common Core State Standards?	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	1	
TOTAL								1	

	OTIVATION AND NGAGEMENT (M&E)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
	es the program direct the teacher in ways to ease student motivation such as:									
1.	Making reading relevant to students' lives?	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	1	
2.	Providing meaningful goals for learning from texts?	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
3.	Making available a variety of choices (e.g., texts and assignments) that align with instruction?	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	1	
4.	Providing opportunities for students to work collaboratively?	х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	1	
	TOTAL								4	

AS	SSESSMENT (A)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Are assessments included that teachers can use to guide student movement through the program (e.g., screening, progress monitoring, diagnostic, and outcome measures)?	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X	1	
2.	Does the program provide teacher guidance in using assessment results to differentiate instruction?	X	X	X	χ	X	X	X	1	
3.	Do the assessments identify students who are at risk or already experiencing difficulty learning to read?	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	1	
	TOTAL								3	

	ROFESSIONAL EVELOPMENT (PD)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is adequate time offered for teachers to learn new concepts and practice what they have learned?	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	X	1	
2.	Is there a plan for coaches, mentors, peers, or outside experts to provide feedback to teachers and follow-up assistance as they put new concepts into practice?	Х	х	х	х	х	х	X	1	
3.	Are teachers taught how to administer and interpret assessments that accompany the program?	X	X	X	х	X	X	X	1	
4.	Is program PD customized to meet participants' varying needs (e.g., first-year teachers, coaches, and principals)?	X	Х	х	х	Х	х	X	1	
5.	Does the PD provide support (e.g., principal checklists, follow-up, in-class modeling, and a CD for viewing model lessons) to facilitate application of content?	Х	х	х	х	х	х	X	1	
	TOTAL								5	